UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,497	03/27/2006	Yasutsugu Socta	127462	9335
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 08/15/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			NGUYEN,	DUNG V
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/573,497	SOETA, YASUTSUGU		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dung V. Nguyen	3723		
Period fo	or Reply				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>15-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>15-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the identification of by the identification of by the identification of by the drawing of the drawi	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. & 119				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen		"□ -	(070, 440)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate		
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species 1 includes a total volume of grooves

Species 2 includes a groove depth.

- 2. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 3. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 15, 17, 19, 21,23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35 and 37 belongs to species 1, Claims 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 belongs to species 2.

Art Unit: 3723

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 15 and 27 are generic to species 1 and claims 16 and 28 are generic to species 2.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2; the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of species 1 is a total volume of grooves. The special technical feature of species 2 is a groove depth. Since the special technical feature of the species 1 is not present in the species 2 and the special technical feature of the species 2 is not present in the species 1, unity is lacking.

Page 3

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,497

Art Unit: 3723

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Dung V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

4490. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP Program.

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

IDung Van Nguyen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

DVN August 9, 2007